Complex Congenital Heart disease with Sacral Agenesis – a Case Report
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Abstract: Complex congenital heart anomalies are less common. A thirty years old third gravida treated for secondary infertility, gestational diabetes mellitus and hypertension was admitted in Sri Ramachandra Medical College Hospital near term with a breech presentation of the fetus. Labour was induced due to Gestational diabetes and fetal bradycardia. She delivered by vaginal delivery / assisted breech delivery with episiotomy. The child had neonatal respiratory distress, did not cry at birth and was not breast feeding well. The child was treated in the neonatal Intensive care unit for the same. The foetal echocardiogram revealed small left ventricle, dilated right ventricle, single outflow from Right ventricle and probable Truncus arteriosus. Infantogram revealed cardiomegaly and Sacral Agenesis. The case is unique for its rarity and its embryological significance.

Complex congenital heart diseases are less common. A congenital heart defect (CHD) is a defect in the structure of the heart and great vessels that are present at birth either obstruct blood flow in the heart or vessels near it or cause blood to flow through the heart in an abnormal pattern. Congenital heart diseases constitute 1% of all congenital malformations. The cause is genetic in 8% and environmental in 2% of the cases. Mostly it is multifactorial. (Saddler, 2006)

Case Report

Thirty years old female patient was admitted near term. She was married six years ago. She had an induced conception. She was the third gravida with only Live born child in this conception. She had Gestational diabetes mellitus and was on insulin. She also had Pregnancy induced hypertension and was on treatment. Patient was taking antenatal care elsewhere before admission and said to have been taking Folic acid supplements.

Her Blood group was Rh negative. Her TORCH PANEL was positive for Herpes simplex virus. There was no positive family history of live births with congenital cardiac anomalies or other birth defects.

Antenatal ultra sonogram of the mother was done and following were the findings:

- At 36 weeks revealed no intrauterine growth retardation, Single live fetus with 36 wks gestation with breech presentation.
- Fetal bradycardia, small left ventricle (Fig.1)
o Single outflow from right ventricle (Fig.2) and Single umbilical artery (Fig.3)

Birth weight was normal with reduced Apgar score (6/10) and normal head circumference and length. There was no cyanosis. The Respiratory rate was increased (76/mt). Oxygen saturation was reduced in arterial blood. Cardiovascular system examination revealed both heart sounds with a pansystolic murmur. Abdomen was soft. Both lower limbs were hypoplastic extended at knees and adducted. As the baby did not cry immediately after birth, assisted ventilation was provided and was treated with intravenous antibiotics after septic workup. The child picked up with normal respiratory effort.

Investigations of the child revealed the following:

1. Infantogram - Revealed cardiomegaly (Fig.4).
2. Echocardiogram showed Complex congenital heart disease - Hypoplastic mitral ring, Small left ventricle, Dilated right ventricle, Single outflow from Right ventricle (Probable Truncus arteriosus) (Fig.5).
3. X ray LS Spine showed Sacral Agenesis (Fig.6)

Patient delivered by assisted breech delivery after induction. Induction was done due to fetal bradycardia and gestational diabetes. A female child was born at term. The cry was weak and the baby did not feed well and had respiratory distress. Physical examination of the neonate revealed the following findings:
Discussion

The clinical features of this case being suggestive of a syndrome called Hypoplastic Left Heart syndrome. This was first described in 1952 by Lev as “hypoplasia of aortic tract” including cases of hypoplasia of aorta, Ventricular septal defect, aortic stenosis or atresia, with or without mitral atresia. In 1958 Noonan and Nadas described these lesions as Hypoplastic Left Heart syndrome (Rao, 2009). The prevalence of hypoplastic Left Heart syndrome is 0.016-0.27 per 1000 live births. It constitutes 1.4-3.8% of congenital heart disease. The incidence of congenital heart disease as such in India is 8 per 1000 live births.

Out of these 180,000 children born each year nearly 60,000 have critical congenital heart disease that requires early intervention (Saxena, 2005). The incidence of severe congenital heart disease that will require expert cardiologic care is about 2.5 to 3/1,000 live births. The moderately severe forms of CHD probably account for another 3 per 1,000 live births. (Hoffman, and Kaplan, 2002)

Combination of this syndrome with sacral agenesis is a rare occurrence. Sacral agenesis is rare affecting 1 in 25,000 (Hoffman 1980). While hypoplastic Left Heart syndrome is more common in males but sacral agenesis has equal incidence in both sexes.

The probable causes of the Hypoplastic Left Heart syndrome in this case could be due to abnormal partitioning of the Truncus arteriosus resulting in small aortic outflow tract and a hypoplastic valve annulus. This impedes the blood flow through the aorta from the left ventricle. Since the stimulus for normal development of the aorta is thus interrupted aorta becomes hypoplastic. Normal development of the left ventricle and the mitral valve could have been secondarily affected resulting in hypoplasia of these structures. (Bardo et al., 2001).

The probable causes of sacral agenesis could be due to disorders of primary or secondary neurulation which commonly occurs during the thrid to seventh week of pregnancy. (Fellous, et al.), Folic acid deficiency is a probable cause but the patient was taking antenatal care elsewhere before admission and said to have been taking Folic acid supplements. Though she was termed as having gestational diabetes mellitus probable pre gestational undetected diabetes is also a possible cause since the previous blood sugar values were not available. Sacral agenesis may also occur as a part of Curarino’s syndrome .This syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder with sacral agenesis,mass in the
presacral space, and anorectal malformations. This occurs due to mutation of HLXB9 gene most probably microdeletion involving HLXB9. There is a linkage to chromosome 7q36. Homeobox genes (Hox) are required for development of various organs and skeletal development. Altered patterns of expression or mutations of Hox genes have been shown to produce congenital abnormalities.

It is more likely that the combination of congenital heart disease with sacral agenesis and single umbilical artery, hypoplasia of the limbs in this case is a part of Caudal regression syndrome. The majority of Caudal regression syndrome is sporadic. The role for HLXB9 in caudal regression has not yet been demonstrated, although it is possible that a mutation or DNA sequence variation in the noncoding region of HLXB9 could be present in these individuals, it is more likely that these malformations are due to other factors or defects in other gene(s) (Belloni and Martucciello, 2000). Bernard Duhamel first described the syndrome as a spectrum of congenital malformations, which consist of anomalies of the rectum, the urinary and genital systems, the lumbosacral spine, and the lower limbs. The most severe end of the spectrum is the fusion of the lower limbs and the major organ malformations. This is known as sirenomelia or mermaid syndrome. (Zaw and Stone, 2002)

Caudal regression syndrome is associated with maternal diabetes which is also a possibility in this case. Hyperglycemia leads to release of free radicals which can be teratogenic.

Abnormal migration of the neural crest cells is also a probable cause for both the congenital heart disease and the sacral agenesis in this case. Identifying the High Risk mothers for the development of babies with congenital anomalies, proper prenatal screening, possible preventive measures and sometimes intrauterine corrective measures for the birth defect are the only tools to prevent and treat such congenital anomalies.

Identifying High Risk mothers:

- Elderly mothers (age 35 and above), diabetic mothers, previous pregnancy with birth defects or genetic disorder, Exposure to teratogens like chemicals, drugs, radiation and TORCH infection, positive family history of genetic disorder, mothers with congenital heart diseases and syphilis are the High Risk mothers for congenital anomalies. (Ganesh Dangal, 2007).

Prenatal Tests:

1. Ultrasonogram
2. Chorion villus sampling
3. Amniocentesis
4. DNA analysis
5. Genetic testing for parents with previous child with birth defects or genetic disorder
6. Routine antenatal screening tests
7. Maternal serum screening for Triple marker and Quad marker (elevation of alphafetoprotein, unconjugated estriol, Human chorionic gonadotrophin and inhibin A). This test is useful to detect neural tube defects and Down’s syndrome.
8. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis though there are ethical issues. Detects potential defects in an embryo within first few days of conception. A blastomere is removed from embryo and tested for genetic abnormalities in Invitro fertilization. If there are no such abnormalities then the embryo is implanted.
9. Fetoscopy, Fetal skin sampling, cordocentesis. (Alberman and Berry, 1979)
**Possible preventive measures:**

1. Proper vaccination during pregnancy
2. Folic acid supplements
3. Avoidance of unnecessary medications, alcohol and smoking
4. Consultation with a genetic counselor for the high risk mothers
5. Genetic screening for the high risk mothers
6. Appropriate treatment of maternal diabetes and associated maternal diseases

**Intrauterine corrective measures:**

Intrauterine corrective surgeries can be done for neural tube defects like spina bifida, congenital heart diseases, sacrococcygeal teratomas and congenital diaphragmatic hernias, though there are ethical issues. Tworetzky (2004) performed a study in which 3 of 9 fetuses that had a technically successful valvotomy (intra uterine) for aortic stenosis and a continuing pregnancy went on to a 2-ventricle circulation at birth showing Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome is preventable in utero.

**Conclusion:**

Once a congenital anomaly is detected in the newborn, this should be disclosed to the family. The nature of the illness and treatment options has to be explained. The family should be helped to accept that this anomaly is predetermined and not the result of birth injury. Counselling should be given. Periodic follow up is mandatory. The treatment options for the hypoplastic left heart syndrome include Norwood procedure, heart transplantation and Supportive expectant Care. (Norwood and Lang 1981) Identifying the High Risk mothers for the development of babies with congenital anomalies, proper prenatal screening, possible preventive measures and sometimes intrauterine corrective measures for the birth defect are the only tools to prevent and treat such congenital anomalies.

It is a collective term describing a group of cardiac malformations with various degrees of hypoplasia of the structures of the left side of the heart. Over 95% of patients with Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome will die if left untreated during the first month of life. Echocardiogram is the diagnostic procedure. As a result of advanced technology, refined surgical techniques (including intrauterine correction), and catheter based interventions, the mortality has reduced for children born with hypoplasia of the left heart. The syndrome is still considered as one of the most complex congenital cardiac malformations to manage. Treatment of patients with sacral agenesis is best provided by a team including an orthopaedic surgeon, urologist, neurosurgeon, pediatrician, physical therapist, and onthotist-prosthetist.

Genetic counseling and antenatal diagnosis of such diseases may be the tools for the prevention and management of this disorder. (Connor and Thiagarajan 2007).

The case history and investigations were collected after getting informed consent from the patient (mother).
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